

Micro Oscillation Monitored by Entanglement

Werner Wong

*Department of Physics,
Fudan University, Shanghai, 200433, China*

Abstract

The entanglement of two two-level atoms coupling a single-mode polarized cavity field is studied, taken the oscillations of centers of atoms mass into account, in which the system has two different initial states. The factor of oscillation of center of mass is proposed to modify the entanglement of the two atoms states. When the micro oscillations frequencies of the centers of mass are very low, the factors depend on the relative oscillation displacements and the initial phases, rather than the absolute amplitudes and reduce the entanglement to three orders of magnitude. The fact that the entanglement increases with the increase of the initial phases suggests that micro oscillation can be monitored by entanglement. A possible scheme for gravitational wave detection based on the oscillation effect is discussed.

Key Words: Wootters concurrence, micro oscillation, factor of oscillation of center of mass, gravitational wave detection

PACS: 03.67.Bg, 04.80.Nn, 42.50.Pq, 37.10.Vz

Email: 031020006@fudan.edu.cn

I. Introduction

The entanglement of quantum states discovered by Einstein Podolsky Rosen(EPR)[1] and Schrödinger[2] is one of the strangest phenomena in quantum mechanics. Bohm specifies EPR thoughts in ref[1] and presents a vivid sample of state entanglement, i.e. the entanglement of two electrons spin states[3]. Bell accepts EPR conclusion and proposes Bell inequalities to give a judgment which theory describes the real world, quantum mechanics or local hidden variable model[4]. Entanglement as a new resource can not only be applied to information field, such as quantum state teleportation[5], quantum cryptography[6], quantum dense coding[7], quantum computing[8] etc, but also present a new angle of view, such as the emergence of classicality[9], disordered systems[10], superconductivity[11] and superradiance[12] etc. The research about quantum state entanglement criteria has been widely carried out, here some important results are listed, Peres-Horodecki theorem[13,14] for discrete states, separability criteria for continuous variables, for example a bipartite system Gaussian states found by Duan[15] and Simon[16]. The concurrence of two qubits by Wootters[17] presents a quantitative description for entanglement. Entanglement has been produced in laboratories for instance six or eight ions[18,19], six particles or ten qubits entanglement via photons[20,21] and nuclear and electron spins entanglement in diamond[22]. To fulfill the experimental needs, different designs for entanglement detection have been proposed[23, 24].

Gravitation wave is an important prediction of general relativity. It is too weak to be directly confirmed nowadays. To detect gravitation wave, there is a great interest to manipulate the motion

of the mechanics oscillators centers of mass in laser interferometer gravitational-wave observatory(LIGO)[25,26]. Cooling mirror via interaction laser between cavity field and the mirror nearly to ground state has been studied by many authors[27-38]. In this article we adopt a new angle of view and study the oscillations effects of the massive plates on the entanglement between two two-level atoms which are embedded into them. This situation suggests that the plates oscillations are the oscillations of atoms mass centers. The article is organized as follows. In section II the evolutional Wootters concurrences of two different initial states are calculated by usual ways. In section III we propose the factor of motion of center of mass reducing the entanglement of the two atoms states to three orders of magnitude. A gravitational wave detection project is discussed. In section IV a brief summary is presented.




Fig.1 Schematic of a setup which illustrates how the motions of centers of mass affect the entanglement between two two-level atoms coupling a single-mode cavity field.

II. The Evolutional Wootters Concurrence Calculation

The system we study is shown in Fig.1. Two same two-level A atom at $z_A = -z_0$ and B atom at $z_B = z_0$ are coupled to a single-mode cavity field polarized along y direction which runs along z direction. Two atoms are respectively embedded in two plates, and the plates will oscillate along x direction driven by gravitation wave source. The Hamiltonian is written as $H = H_0 + H_{CM} + H_I$, where the Hamiltonian H_0 including the two atoms, the one cavity, the Hamiltonian H_{CM} of motions of centers of mass and the atom-field interaction Hamiltonian H_I under the rotating-wave approximation are respectively given by

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_A\sigma_A^z + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega_B\sigma_B^z + \hbar\omega a^\dagger a ,$$

$$H_{CM} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M_A} \frac{d^2}{dX_A^2} + \frac{1}{2}M_A\Omega_A^2 X_A^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_B} \frac{d^2}{dX_B^2} + \frac{1}{2}M_B\Omega_B^2 X_B^2 ,$$

$$H_I = \hbar g \sum_{i=A,B} [a\sigma_i^+ \exp(ikz_i) + a^\dagger\sigma_i^- \exp(-ikz_i)] .$$

In the total Hamiltonian, a^\dagger, a are bosonic operators, σ^z , $\sigma^+ = |e\rangle\langle g|$ and $\sigma^- = |g\rangle\langle e|$ are respectively Pauli operator, rising and lowering operators for two-level atoms, Ω_A, Ω_B are the oscillation frequencies of A, B plates, M_A, M_B are the masses of A, B atoms, g is the coupling constant and k is the wavenumber of the cavity field. Without loss of generality we suppose the conditions $\omega_A = \omega_B = \omega, M_A = M_B = M, \Omega_A = \Omega_B = \Omega$ are fulfilled. The oscillations of the plates due to gravitational wave are extremely weak, the effect of the oscillation of center of mass on the atom energy level can be ignored. The direction of oscillation along x axis is perpendicular to the polarization direction along y axis of the single-mode cavity field, so there is not the coupling between the motions of centers of mass and the single-mode polarized cavity field.

Many authors have studied the question that two two-level atoms are coupled to a single-mode field[39, 40, 41]. The two atoms, the single-mode polarized field and the plates form a closed system, we obtain the evolution equation of the system state as follows,

$$\rho(t) = U(t)\rho(0)U^\dagger(t),$$

where the time evolution operator $U(t) = \exp[-iHt/\hbar]$. Due to the relationships

$$[H_{MC}, H_0] = [H_{MC}, H_I] = 0, [H_{MC} + H_0, H_I] = 0, \text{ we have}$$

$$U(t) = \exp[-iH_I t/\hbar] \exp[-iH_0 t/\hbar] \exp[-iH_{MC} t/\hbar]. \quad (1)$$

The reduced density for the two atoms is given by

$$\rho(t)_{atoms} = Tr_E[U(t)\rho(0)U^\dagger(t)]. \quad (2)$$

Taken Equ(1) into accounted, $\rho(t)_{atoms}$ is written as

$$\rho(t)_{atoms} = Tr_E[e^{-iH_I t/\hbar} \rho(0) e^{iH_I t/\hbar}]. \quad (3)$$

$e^{-iH_I t/\hbar}$ is exactly worked out in the atomic basis $\{|ee\rangle, |eg\rangle, |ge\rangle, |gg\rangle\}$ similarly in ref.[40], where $|e\rangle$ is excited state and $|g\rangle$ is ground state, i.e.

$$e^{-iH_I t/\hbar} = \begin{pmatrix} 2g^2 a(C-\Theta)a^\dagger + 1 & -igaSe^{ikz_0} & -igaSe^{-ikz_0} & 2g^2 a(C-\Theta)a \\ -igSa^\dagger e^{-ikz_0} & (\cos\Omega t + 1)/2 & (\cos\Omega t - 1)e^{-2ikz_0}/2 & -igSae^{-ikz_0} \\ -igSa^\dagger e^{ikz_0} & (\cos\Omega t - 1)e^{2ikz_0}/2 & (\cos\Omega t + 1)/2 & -igSae^{ikz_0} \\ 2g^2 a^\dagger(C-\Theta)a^\dagger & -iga^\dagger Se^{ikz_0} & -iga^\dagger Se^{-ikz_0} & 2g^2 a^\dagger(C-\Theta)a + 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

Here $\Omega^2 = \Theta^{-1} = 2g^2(2a^\dagger a + 1)$ and C and S are defined by $C = \Theta \cos\Omega t$ and

$$S = \Omega^{-1} \sin \Omega t .$$

We consider two typical initial states, and give the effect of motions of centers of mass on the entanglement between the two atoms states. The first initial state is

$$\rho(0)_1 = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes |\psi_n\psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_n\psi_m|, \quad (5)$$

where $|\varphi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ee\rangle + |gg\rangle)$, and $|0\rangle$ and $|\psi_n\psi_m\rangle$ denote zero photon state and

eigenstates of the two plates oscillations. Substituting $\rho_1(0)$ from Equ (5) into Equ (3), we obtain

$$\rho(t)_{atoms} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{(\cos \sqrt{6}gt + 2)^2}{18} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\cos \sqrt{6}gt + 2}{6} \\ 0 & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{6}gt}{12} & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{6}gt}{12} e^{-2ikz_0} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{6}gt}{12} e^{2ikz_0} & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{6}gt}{12} & 0 \\ \frac{\cos \sqrt{6}gt + 2}{6} & 0 & 0 & \frac{(\cos \sqrt{6}gt - 1)^2}{9} + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

$$\times \int \langle \psi_n\psi_m | X_1 X_2 \rangle \langle X_1 X_2 | \psi_n\psi_m \rangle dX_1 dX_2$$

Supposing $\Omega \ll g, \Omega \ll \omega$, $\int \langle \psi_n\psi_m | X_1 X_2 \rangle \langle X_1 X_2 | \psi_n\psi_m \rangle dX_1 dX_2$ can be regarded

as classical harmonic oscillators probabilities. In fact the frequency Ω of mechanical vibration or gravitation wave is about 10^3 Hz, and the coupling constant g can arrive at 10^6 Hz. Defining

$\alpha = \sqrt{M\Omega/\hbar}$ and $\xi = \alpha x$, we have the motion equations of the two plates

$\xi_1 = \sqrt{2n_1+1} \sin(\Omega t + \delta_1)$ and $\xi_2 = \sqrt{2n_2+1} \sin(\Omega t + \delta_2)$, where n_1 and n_2 are the

quantum numbers, δ_1, δ_2 are the initial phases of the two plates and $\sqrt{2n_{1,2}+1}$ denote the

absolute oscillation amplitudes. The classical oscillator's probability density is

$$w(\xi) = \langle \psi | \xi \rangle \langle \xi | \psi \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{(2n+1) - \xi^2}}, \quad \text{in } \xi \in [0, \sqrt{2n+1}] \quad w(\xi) \text{ increases with the}$$

increase of the displacement ξ . We do not need to consider $\xi \in [-\sqrt{2n+1}, 0]$ situation

because this region does not affect the entanglement of the two atoms. We work out

$$\int \langle \psi_n\psi_m | \xi_1 \xi_2 \rangle \langle \xi_1 \xi_2 | \psi_n\psi_m \rangle d\xi_1 d\xi_2, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\int_{\xi_{10}}^{\xi_{10}+\zeta_1} w(\xi_1) d\xi_1 \int_{\xi_{20}}^{\xi_{20}+\zeta_2} w(\xi_2) d\xi_2, \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left[\arcsin\left(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} + \sin \delta_1\right) - \delta_1 \right] \left[\arcsin\left(\frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} + \sin \delta_2\right) - \delta_2 \right]$$

where ζ_1, ζ_2 denote the absolute displacements of the two plates and during a very short time, we

have $0 < \frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} \ll 1, 0 < \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} \ll 1$ because of $\Omega \ll g, \Omega \ll \omega$. δ_1, δ_2 are the

initial $t = 0$ phases of the two plates i.e. $\xi_{10} = \sin \delta_1, \xi_{20} = \sin \delta_2$. From Equ(6) and Equ(7), we

get Wootters concurrence $C(\rho) = \max(0, \sqrt{\lambda_1} - \sqrt{\lambda_2} - \sqrt{\lambda_3} - \sqrt{\lambda_4})$, where the quantities

λ_i are the eigenvalues of the matrix $\rho(\sigma_A^y \otimes \sigma_B^y) \rho^*(\sigma_A^y \otimes \sigma_B^y)$ arranged in decreasing order,

ρ^* is the complex conjugation of ρ in the atomic basis $\{|ee\rangle, |eg\rangle, |ge\rangle, |gg\rangle\}$ and

$\sigma_A^y \otimes \sigma_B^y$ is direct product of Pauli matrix expressed in the same basis[42]. Wootters concurrence

is calculated as

$$C(\rho)_1 = \frac{2}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{\cos \sqrt{6}gt + 2}{6} - \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{6}gt}{12} \right) \times \left[\arcsin\left(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} + \sin \delta_1\right) - \delta_1 \right] \left[\arcsin\left(\frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} + \sin \delta_2\right) - \delta_2 \right], \quad (8)$$

where $C(\rho)_1$ has nothing to do with the phase factors $e^{\pm 2ikz_0}$. The second initial state is

$\rho(0)_2 = |gg\rangle\langle gg| \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes |\psi_n \psi_m\rangle\langle \psi_n \psi_m|$, following Wootters concurrence

calculation of the first initial state, the deduced atoms matrix in the atomic

basis $\{|ee\rangle, |eg\rangle, |ge\rangle, |gg\rangle\}$ is

$$\rho(t)_{atoms} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{2}gt}{2} & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{2}gt}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{2}gt}{2} & \frac{\sin^2 \sqrt{2}gt}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cos^2 \sqrt{2}gt \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

$$\times \int \langle \psi_n \psi_m | \xi_1 \xi_2 \rangle \langle \xi_1 \xi_2 | \psi_n \psi_m \rangle d\xi_1 d\xi_2$$

The Wootters concurrence is

$$C(\rho)_2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \sqrt{2} g t [\arcsin(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} + \sin \delta_1) - \delta_1] [\arcsin(\frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} + \sin \delta_2) - \delta_2]. \quad (10)$$

III. Factor of Motion of Centers of mass

Both of the Wootters concurrences have the same factor of the motions of centers of mass

$$K(\delta_1, \delta_2) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} [\arcsin(\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} + \sin \delta_1) - \delta_1] [\arcsin(\frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} + \sin \delta_2) - \delta_2]. \quad (11)$$

Factor K versus the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 is shown in Fig. 2, which is our main result. We

remind readers that the factor of the motion of center of mass in Equ. (11) depend on the relative

oscillation displacements $\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}}, \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}}$ and initial phases δ_1, δ_2 , rather than the absolute

oscillation amplitudes $\sqrt{2n_{1,2}+1}$. From the oscillation equations $\xi_1 = \sqrt{2n_1+1} \sin(\Omega t + \delta_1)$

and $\xi_2 = \sqrt{2n_2+1} \sin(\Omega t + \delta_2)$, we obtain that the factor K depend on the phases of the two

plates. All boundaries of δ_1, δ_2 and $K(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ depend on the relative displacements

$\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}}, \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}}$. For example, $\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} = \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} = 0.05$, due to $\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2n+1}} + \sin \delta = 1$

we have $\sin \delta_1 = \sin \delta_2 = 0.95$, i.e. $\delta_1 = \delta_2 \approx 1.25$ maximally. Substituting $\delta_1 = \delta_2 \approx 1.25$

into $K(\delta_1, \delta_2)$, we obtain that $K(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ maximum is about 0.01. The relative displacements




Fig. 2 Factor K versus the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 , where $\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} = \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} = 0.05$.

costing time should ensure the longer interval for g, ω and the shorter interval for Ω . The

negative regions of δ_1, δ_2 are not necessary for the entanglement calculations. From Fig.2 we obtain two results: (1) The oscillations of atoms centers of mass greatly reduce the entanglement of the two atoms states to three orders of magnitude, because in the condition of $\Omega \ll g, \Omega \ll \omega$ the classical oscillation probability does not in time be normalized during a very short time, the probability within very small relative displacement $\frac{\zeta}{\sqrt{2n+1}}$ is of course much smaller than 1. (2) The entanglement will increase with the increase of the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 , the reason is that the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 correspond to the larger displacements ξ_1, ξ_2 , and probability density $w(\xi)$ increases with the increase of displacement ξ .




Fig. 3 Wootters concurrences $C(\rho_1), C(\rho_2)$ versus the coupling gt and the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 ,

where we suppose $\frac{\zeta_1}{\sqrt{2n_1+1}} = \frac{\zeta_2}{\sqrt{2n_2+1}} = 0.05$ and $\delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta$. Fig. (a) corresponds to the initial state

$$\rho(0)_1 = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi| \otimes |0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes |\psi_n \psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_n \psi_m|, \text{ where } |\varphi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|ee\rangle + |gg\rangle), \text{ and Fig. (b)}$$

corresponds to the initial state $\rho(0)_2 = |gg\rangle\langle gg| \otimes |1\rangle\langle 1| \otimes |\psi_n \psi_m\rangle\langle\psi_n \psi_m|$.

Taken the factor K of the oscillation of centers of mass into accounted, the concurrences $C(\rho_1), C(\rho_2)$ of the two initial states versus the coupling time gt and the initial phases δ_1, δ_2 of the two plates are shown in Fig.3(a) and Fig.3(b). We say that Fig. 3 are just used to guide readers' eyes and will not be applied to specific measurements in laboratory. Although the entanglement of the two atoms states is greatly reduced to three orders of magnitude, it increases with the increase of the initial phases and has nothing to do with the absolute oscillation

amplitudes. This fact tells us that detecting the relationship between entanglement and initial phases is a very good candidate to monitor micro oscillation, no matter how small the oscillation amplitudes are. In Fig.1 a gravitation wave detection design is presented. We even do not need to change the relative displacement of the two plates along z direction, as long as we detect the entanglement, for example the maximum entanglement, increasing with the increase of the phases δ_1, δ_2 at some special time, we surely verify the existence of plates micro oscillations. What needs to be explained is that some special time for the phases δ_1, δ_2 is actually the longer interval for time-varying entanglement because of $\Omega \ll g, \Omega \ll \omega$. If the micro oscillations are generated by gravitation wave propagation, no matter how small the oscillation amplitudes are, for example the mirror displacement in LIGO is even smaller than 10^{-18} m, after the thermal motions of the plates are almost eliminated via the ways of cooling mirror, entanglement verifies the existence of the gravitation wave. The actual setup for gravitational wave detection must be more complicated than our sketch, and there are many many details to be thought over and many many problems to be resolved. We believe that the gravitational wave detection project based on phase-varying entanglement is a new probable project compared with LIGO or LISA(Laser Interferometer Space Antenna).

IV. A Brief Summary

In conclusion we have studied the entanglement of two two-level atoms coupled with a single-mode polarized cavity field, incorporating the oscillations of centers of mass. The oscillations of centers of mass reduce the entanglement of the two atoms states to three orders of magnitude. The entanglement is sensitively affected by the initial phases and relative displacements rather than the absolute oscillation amplitudes in the condition of $\Omega \ll g, \Omega \ll \omega$. The larger the initial phases become, the larger entanglement becomes too. Detecting entanglement varying with phases can verify the existence of arbitrarily micro oscillation because of the factor K having nothing to do with the absolute oscillation amplitudes, and a simple discussion about detecting gravitation wave is presented. It is probable that gravitation wave is directly detected in laboratory.

References

- [1] Einstein A., B. Podolsky and N. Rosen, Phys. Rev. 47, 777, 1935.
- [2] Schrödinger E., Naturwiss, 23, 807, 1935.
- [3] Bohm D., *Quantum Theory*, Prentice Hall, Engewood Cliffs, NJ, 1951.
- [4] Bell J.S., Physics (Long Island City, NY), 1, 195, 1964.
- [5] Bennett C.H., G Brassard, C Crepeau, R Jozsa, A Peres and WK Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1895, 1993.
- [6] Eckert K., J. Schliemann, D. Bruß, M. Lewenstein, Ann. Phys. (NY), 299, 88, 2002.
- [7] Bennett C.H. and S.J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2881, 1992.
- [8] Nielsen M.A. and I.L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University, Cambridge, England.

- [9] Zurek W.H., *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 75, 715, 2003
- [10] Dür W., L. Hartmann, M. Hein, M. Lewenstein and H.J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94, 097203, 2005
- [11] Vedral V. *New J. Phys.* 6, 102, 2004
- [12] Lambert N., C. Emary and T. Brandes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92, 073602, 2004.
- [13] Peres A. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 1413, 1996
- [14] Horodecki P. *Phys. Lett. A*, 232, 333, 1997
- [15] Duan L.M., G. Giedke, J.I. Cirac and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 2722, 2000.
- [16] Simon R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84, 2726, 2000.
- [17] Wootters W.K., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 2245, 1998.
- [18] Leibfried D., E. Knill, S. Seidelin, J. Britton, R.B. Blakestad, J. Chiaverini, D.B. Hume, W.M. Itano, J.D. Jost, C. Langer, et al., *Nature*, 438, 639, 2005.
- [19] Häffner H., W. Hänsel, C.F. Roos, J. Benhelm, D. Chek-al-kar, M. Chwalla, T. Körber, U.D. Rapol, M. Riebe, P.O. Schmidt, et al., *Nature*, 438, 643, 2005.
- [20] Lu C.Y., X.Q. Zhou, O. Gühne, W.B. Gao, J. Zhang, Z.S. Yuan, A. Goebel, T. Yang and J.W. Pan, *Nature Phys.* 3, 91, 2007.
- [21] Gao W.B., C.Y. Lu, X.C. Yao, P. Xu, O. Gühne, A. Goebel, Y.A. Chen, C.Z. Peng, Z.B. Chen and J.W. Pan, Experimental demonstration of a hyper-entangled ten-qubit Schrödinger cat state, arXiv: 0809.4277, 2008.
- [22] Neumann P., N. Mizuochi, F. Rempp, P. Hemmer, H. Watanabe, S. Yamasaki, V. Jacques, T. Gaebel, F. Jelezko and J. Wrachtrup, *Science*, 320, 1326, 2008.
- [23] Gühne O. and G. Tóth, *Phys. Rep.* 474, 1, 2009.
- [24] Horodecki R., P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki and K. Horodecki, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 81, 865, 2009.
- [25] Corbitt T. and N. Mavalvala, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semi-class. Opt.* 6, S675, 2004.
- [26] Corbitt T. et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98, 150802, 2007.
- [27] Wilson-Rae I., N. Nooshi, W. Zwerger, and T.J. Kippenberg, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 093901, 2007.
- [28] Marquardt F., J.P. Chen, A.A. Clerk and S.M. Girvin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 99, 093902, 2007.
- [29] Kippenberg T.J. and K.J. Vahala, *Science*, 321, 1172, 2008.
- [30] Marquardt F. and S.M. Girvin, *Physics*, 2, 40, 2009.
- [31] Kleckner D. and D. Bouwmeester, *Nature*, 444, 75, 2006.
- [32] Thompson J.D., B.M. Zwickl, A.M. Jayich, F. Marquardt, S.M. Girvin and J.G.E. Harris, *Nature*, 452, 72, 2008.
- [33] Cohadon P.F., A. Heidmann and M. Pinard, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 83, 3174, 1999.
- [34] Braginsky V. and A. Manukin, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 25, 653, 1967.
- [35] Braginsky V., A.B. Manukin and M.Y. Tikhonov, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, 31, 829, 1970.
- [36] Schliesser A., O. Arcizet, R. Riviere and T.J. Kippenberg, arXiv:0901.1456, 2009.
- [37] Teufel J.D., J.W. Harlow, C.A. Regal and K.W. Lehnert, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101, 197203, 2008.
- [38] J. M. Aguirregabiria and L. Bel, *Phys. Rev. A* 36, 3768, 1987.
- [39] Tavis M. and F.W. Cummings, *Phys. Rev.* 170, 379, 1968.
- [40] Kim M.S., J. Lee, D. Ahn and P.L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A*, 65, 040101, 2002.
- [41] Cui H.T., K. Li and X.X. Yi, *Phys. Lett. A*, 365, 44, 2007.
- [42] Yu T. and J.H. Eberly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93, 140404, 2004.